

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/761,304	SHIOMI, YASUHIKO	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Christopher L. Lavin	2621	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mike Marcus (Reg: 31,727).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8/12/05

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

N/A

**Claims discussed:**

22

**Prior art documents discussed:**

N/A

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



**BRIAN WERNER**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A 101 issue was discovered upon a review of the claims. In claim 22 "a memory medium" could be interpreted as many different possible items including a piece of paper. Thus the claim was non-statutory. Examiner suggested that the claim be amended to read "A computer readable medium" to correct the 101 problem. The applicant's representative agreed to the change. .